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The Christian Religion not destitute of Arguments to support it.

In this Age great Liberties are taken with the holy Scripture, in order to lessen its Authority, and bring its peculiar Doctrines into Disesteem. The Penmen of it have been represented in the most invidious Light: Their Characters have been used in a most unjust Manner, and a false Turn has been given to almost every Thing they acted. All Rules of Decency, Good-Manners, and Justice, due to the Memory of the Deceased, have been violated, by Gentlemen, whose highest Pretensions are, to Politeness, good Sense and Honour: And if we were to be determin'd, in our Opinion concerning them, by what they say of themselves, no doubt could possibly arise in our Minds, respecting the Justness of their Claim, to the beautiful Characters they profess to be enamour'd with, viz. rational, polite, and ingenious; but if we may be allow'd Freedom of Thought and
and Enquiry on our Part, in making use of that Liberty, we can't fail of discovering, that they are not the Men, they are extravagantly fond of being accounted.

The Author of Christianity not founded on Argument, does not indeed proceed altogether in this Method; but it is his apparent Design, to prove that we are Christians, without being able to assign any convincing Reason why we are so, and that Christianity is really destitute of Arguments sufficient to support it. How he succeeds in this laudable Attempt, I purpose, under the Assistance of him, whose the Scripture is, to impartially weigh and consider. And I promise him all the Advantage that a Searcher after Truth can desire: I will not crave more in Favour of Revelation than, I presume, he will readily allow in every Thing else, and leave him to determine, why that which is thought a proper and rational Evidence of Truth and Fact, in any Thing but Christianity, must not be so esteemed, where that is concerned.

I freely grant him, that Reason is to judge of the Truth of Revelation, and that when rational Proofs are not to be produced in Favour, of any pretended heavenly Discovery, its no better than Enthusiasm, to be persuaded of its divine Original. Farther, I allow that Reason is to judge of the Terms and Expressions, used in that Revelation, which it hath been the Pleasure of God to afford to Men. And surely it is just and proper, to interpret the Language of Scripture, agreeable to those Ideas, which are commonly intended to be conveyed by it, when we use the Words and Expressions of which it consists.

First,
First, This Writer strenuously contends that Christian Faith cannot be rational (a). Several Things he advances against it.

I. We are required to think all alike (b). This he supposes is impossible, but I am of Opinion that it is far from being so, that, on the contrary, it is very practicable. If we consider what is necessary to Unity of Sentiments among Christians, how different forever their Capacities are, we shall easily discover, that it might reasonably be hoped for. The Scripture contains the Sum of what they are required to believe, and if the Word of God, which is the only Rule of their Faith, in its Terms and Expressions was duly attended to, and their natural and obvious Interpretation, was freely allowed of by all, there would not be any material Difference among Christians.

For Instance, the holy Scripture affirms that God is one, and that he alone is to be worshipped. The Lord thy God is one Lord. Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve. Farther, it as expressly requires us to worship three, Father, Son, and Spirit, in the Communion given to the Apostles, to teach and baptize (c). Hence it is easy to see, that Unity of Sentiments, in this Doctrine, upon the Evidence of Revelation, relating to it, is no difficult Matter: It is as easy, as to understand, that in Numbers, one is not three, and that three consist of three times one. It is not difficult to discover, that there is but one God, and that these three, Father, Son, and Spirit, are the one only living and true God. The Scripture doubtless, has a proper and determinate Sense, and that Sense certainly is, what the Words and Expressions of it

(a) Christianity not founded on Argument, p. 8.
(b) Ibid.
(c) Matt. xxviii. 19.
properly import; and therefore, when Christians are required to be of one Mind (d), no more is design'd, than, that it is a Duty common to them all, to interpret sacred Writ, without any Force or Violence, and to readily allow, those Ideas to be true, which are therein express'd. If this was done, it seems evident to me, even to a Demonstration, that very little Difference in Opinion would be found among them. And such is the Language of the Bible, that a Reader of ordinary Capacity, and unfurnished of Learning and Science, may understand it, and form a true Judgment of its Doctrines.

II. Men are threatened into Consequences, says he (e). If by Threatening, he means human Threats of Punishment, in case they form not their Judgments, agreeable to the Opinion of others, as that is foreign to the true Nature of Christianity; I have no Concern with it, shall not defend, but condemn it, as much as himself. But if he intends the divine Expression of Displeasure, in Case Men disbelieve that Doctrine to be true, which they can't but know, is agreeable to the

(d) Some learned Men seem inclined to give up this Sense, and to understand it of Unity in Affection; but I humbly apprehend, that suits not the Design of the inspired Writer: φοινικις is indeed a Word of general Signification, and sometimes denotes the Act of the Will, and Affections, but then it is also put for the Act of the Understanding or Judgment in several Places; thus in Acts xxviii. 22. καὶ φοινικις, what thou thinkest; so in Phil. i. 7. ἐὰν γὰρ τό αὐτοφωνεῖται, as it is meet to think this of you all; and unless it is understood in this Sense in Chap. ii. 2. the Elegance and Beauty of the Apostle's Language, will not so evidently appear. Verbum autem φοινικις modo Voluntatis, modo ad Intellectus Actiones referitur.—Ab Animorum (id est Voluntatum) Conjunctione, transit ad Doctrinæ Confœsûm ut plena juxtaque sit Concordia. Beca in loc.

(e) P. 8.
the Language of Scripture, and is the proper Im-
port of the Words and Expressions, it uses, it
is highly just. For surely if God condescends to
reveal his Will to Men, and addresses them in such
Language, as is in common Use among them,
and which they are capable of understanding, it is
nothing unreasonable to threaten them with Pu-
nishment, and actually inflict it, if they refuse to
believe, that when he speaks of one, he means as
he speaks, and that when he speaks of three, he
intends as he expresses himself.

III. He observes, that we are baptized into
Christianity when we are Infants, and know nothing
of the matter (f). As I think this Practice not agree-
able to Christianity, and can't but esteem it an Inno-
vation, I shall not say anything to it. Let them
defend it whose Practice it is, if they are able.

IV. Praying for Improvement in Christian Know-
ledge, he thinks inconsistent with a Conviction of the
Truth of Christianity, upon rational Evidence (g).
Is Prayer then needless, where we are to exercise
our reasoning Powers? It is by our Faculty of Rea-
soning, that we discover the Difference between
Right and Wrong, Truth and Falshood. Now is
it an improper Thing, to pray to God, to blest
the means, which in Providence he hath afforded
us, of the Conviction of our Duty, for our In-
crease in the Knowledge of it: And to pray, that
our corrupt Habits and evil Inclinations, may not
influence us to act a Part, for which our Con-
sciences would certainly condemn us? Is it then
preposterous to offer him our Praisers, for the good
Influence our Knowledge, under his Providence,
has over our Lives, to make us virtuous, wise
and just in our Conduct? Of this Opinion indeed
was Cicero, and some other Philosophers, and also

Poets

(f) P. 9. (g) P. 10.
Poets (b). But this immediately strikes at the Root of all Religion, not only revealed, but also natural, and perhaps, by so much the more, it may gain the Approbation of this Infidel.

V. Says he, The rational Christian, whoever he be, must have originally set out a Sceptic, and besetted for a Time, whether that Gospel were true or false (i.) But why is this necessary, is there nothing, that we rationally believe, but what we doubt of the Truth of for a Time? If so then let me become a Sceptic in some other Matters, besides Christianity. For Instance, let me doubt whether Language had the fame, and not a contrary Meaning, in the Times of Plato, Cicero and Epistelus, &c. as it hath now, and call upon this Man to prove it had the fame, if he is able: This I assure him is done with a favourable View to those Philosophers, and he may thank me for it, because if we fall into the Opinion, of a Change of Language, Plato and some others may be defended from allowing the detestable Practice of the promiscuous Use of Wives (b), and Cicero may be acquitted of Pleading for Obscenity and Uncleanliness.


Quam fluitum est optare, cum possis a te impetrare. Ep. 41.
Hac fatis orare Jovem, quaer donar & affert,
Det Vitan, det Opes, equam est mi Animum ipsa parabo.


Monistro quod ipse tibi possis dare: Semita certe,
Tranquilla per Virtatem patet unica Vite. Ius. Sat. ro.

(i) P. 12.

(b) Ἀρεσκεὶ δὲ αὐτοῖς καὶ κοιμᾶται εἰς τοῖς γυναικεῖς ξενιαῖς ἄνω πάλι τῆς φορᾶς καὶ τῶν στοιχείων τῆς ἐκκλησίας χριστιανής, καὶ χοαὶ τῶν Ζωνίτων καὶ τῶν υποτελῶν, καὶ χριστιανῶν διὰ τῆς γενεσίας, καί τῶν χριστιανῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων παλινοτομῶν, ἀλλά τὰ διὰ τὸν τόμον αὐτῶν καὶ Πλατων. Dig. Laert. on the Life of Zeno.
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cleanness, and by this means Epictetus may be cleared of encouraging Distimulation and Hypocrify in the Worship of God (1). And therefore I should think this Gentleman, who it is probable has a great Veneration for these extraordinary Men, may consider this as a happy and ingenious Thought, and deferring his Thanks. But the Mischief is, if it should be allowed, that Language had in those Times a contrary Meaning to what it now hath, then we must understand those excellent Philosophers, to recommend Vice, when they condemn it, and to condemn Virtue when they extol it. Then it will follow, that in their Opinion, not the wife and virtuous Man is happy, but the Fool and the Knave. Then we may prove, that when they deliver the best Sense, they express the greatest Nonsense. This would lead us to conclude, that Xenophon thought God knows nothing of Things past, nor present, nor Things to come (m). Again if Doubting is necessary to rational Belief, then why may I not doubt, whether it is unlawful to take away this Man's good Name (if he has one to loose), whether it is unlawful to deprive him of his Property, or even of Life itself? Why should I think, that Slander is a criminal Thing, or that Theft is unjust, or that Murder is sinful, before I have examined upon what

(1) Verum si quis est, qui etiam meretriciis Amoribus interdictum. Juventutti putet: Et ille quidem valese severus; negare non possum: Sed abhorret non modo ab hujus faculti licentia, verum etiam a majorum Consuetudine, atque Conceffis. Quando enim hoc factum non est? Quando reprehensum? Quando non permittum? Quando beneque suit, ut, quod licet, non liceret. Cicero Oraet. pro Caflio.


(m) Utis di, o tie, ame ote eyste emi te ynu-

vende, kai tete, kai o. tie exate motar apesoi-
what Principles I am to view these Actions in such a Light? And, if while I am undetermined in my Opinion, and am only upon the Enquiry, I should do either or each of these Acts against him, why should I expose my self to Censure and the Penalty of the Law, for doing what I have received no Conviction, is criminal, but so far as I can discover, is, if not virtuous, at least indifferent? Farther then, why may I not doubt, whether there is a first Cause of all Things, whether there is indeed a God, and if, while I am in Scruple, (which by the Way may be the whole of my Life) I deny him all Honour, Worship and Adoration, surely I am nothing Criminal, in this Matter, for however evident it is in itself, that the World rose not into Being, without the Exertion of an infinite Power, I am incapable of seeing that Evidence; and therefore, it is nothing at all to me, and by Consequence, I may lead my Life, without any Fear of God, without paying him any Honours, or Desires of his Favour and Protection, and be perfectly innocent all the while.

To proceed no farther in this wild and extravagant Manner of speaking, as there is, a rational and irrational Belief, so there is a rational and irrational Doubting. If it be the Character of a Sceptic, to doubt without Reason, whatever he may think of it, he excels not in Wisdom and good Sense the Enthusiast, who believes without Reason. One is as irrational as the other. And to speak freely, the Sceptic is that in Doubting, which without Reason, he charges upon the Christian in Believing, not the wise and understanding Man, but the foolish and unreasonable one. To doubt whether there is any Difference, between Right and Wrong, Truth and Falsity, Virtue and Vice, whether Right, Truth and Virtue are amiable
amiable, and Wrong, Perfidy and Vice are monstrous and evil, will hardly be allowed rational, and if not, then there are some Things rationally believed, where Doubting cannot reasonably take the least Place. And I question not, but Christianity will appear to have such Evidences and Arguments in its Favour, as will necessarily oblige every rational and unprejudiced Enquirer, to allow, that no Doubt can reasonably be admitted concerning its Truth and great Importance.

VI. He objects that, Morality is of no esteem without this Christian Belief (n). In answer to which, I observe that Virtue and a good Life, are certainly very advantageous, a Person who indulges not to Pride, Covetousness, Uncleanliness, Malice and Revenge, will not be attended with the uneasy Consciousness of having so done. But if Men actually are defective in Morality, if they still, are not what they ought to be, if they have in any Instances, acted what they ought not to have done, they are justly liable to the awful Sentiment of that God to whom they are accountable for their Conduct in all Things. And if Men in Fact are such in their Behaviour, either thro' Defect in Duty, or in acting contrary to it, as that they on account thereof, deserve the Displeasure of God; why should it be thought unreasonable, that they are not accepted and rewarded by him, for an Obedience, which is allowed to be deficient and stain'd with Guilt? If indeed any Man, this Writer for Instance, is as pure and regular in all Things, as he ought to be, I am free to grant he will not be condemn'd, but be approved by his Maker, and receive Happines from him. But if he is not the Man he ought to be, in every Branch

(») P. 15.
of his Conduct, he will find nothing contrary to Goodness or Justice in his Condemnation.

Here he seems to discover a Dislike of expecting Pardon, alone through the Mediation of Christ, if Revelation had not recommended that Doctrine to us, I suppose this Author would not have represented it as destitute of Arguments and Evidences, sufficient to support its divine Authority. In this Place he also observes that we may not live long enough to go through with a proper Enquiry, into the Evidences of Christianity, and that, that may prove of fatal Consequence. Prodigious Labour, great Pains and long Study it seems, are necessary to a rational Belief of Christianity! Labour as great, as to learn the Import of the Terms, God, Sin, Punishment, Saviour, Mediation, and Suffering, to which how few are equal! Labour as difficult as to discover, that none but God can foreknow the Actions of Men, and declare the Parts they will act, Centuries of Years before they exist, that none but God is able to alter the Course of Nature, raise the dead to Life, and work Miracles of the like kind. A Task attended with as great Difficulty, as discovering that Men existed more than seventeen Hundred Years ago, and what Proof can be given of that, which may be thought a proper Foundation for a rational Belief of it? Extraordinary Labour this, doubtless! to which every Man of common Sense is equal, and may, and must be assured of the Truth of Christianity, if he exercises Reason, the very Moment he takes into Consideration the Evidences of it, upon such Testimonies, as he would believe any else in the World, better Testimonies than which, he has not, to found his Belief upon, that the World existed, so long a time since, as Christianity is supposed to have been introduced into it.

VII.
VII. Few Men, says he, are qualified for Reasoning (c). This Writer would doubtless be esteemed of the Number of the happy Few, who have this rare and uncommon Qualification. He is able, by his superior Penetration to discover that Works proper to God, are not clear and sufficient Proofs of the Exertion of his Power, that Predictions of the Parts Men will act Hundreds of Years before their Appearance on the Stage of Life, which he only can be acquainted with, is no infallible Evidence of his conveying to us the Knowledge of his Will (p). He is able to prove, by his admirable Talent of Reasoning, that we have no certain Ground to believe, that there ever were such Men in the World as Alexander, Plato, Cicero, or Julius Caesar: Nay, that it is a Thing disputable, whether the World is two Centuries old, for we have it only upon Report and Hearsay, which are very fallible and uncertain Things. And if indeed the World is of such Standing, as the Times in which these Men are supposed to have liv’d, he can teach us, that it is uncertain whether Language has not passed under an entire Change, that those Terms which now stand for Virtue might not then mean Vice, and those Words which now express Valour, might not then be used to express Cowardice, and therefore, we learn from this accomplished Reasoner, that

(c) P. 17.

(p) Cicerò is against him in this Matter; says he, Signa offensuntur a Diss Rerum futurarum, de Nat. Deor. Lib. i. C. 4. From the foretelling of Things to come, he argues the Certainty of the Existence of Deity. And from the Predictions of future Events delivered in the Bible, we may justly argue in Favour of its divine Original. Nor have we the least need to make Excuses for the Sacred Writers, which the Philosopher is obliged to do for his Diviners.
that it is uncertain, when we read Plato, or Cicero, Quintus Curtius, or Caesar's Commentaries, whether we are to understand them of recommending Virtue or Vice, whether Alexander was a Coward, or a bold and resolute Man, whether Q. Curtius and Caesar speak of Flight or of Victory. Rare Discoveries these indeed, and truly worthy of a Free-thinker or Infidel.

VIII. The Reasonableness of Religion in Speculation nothing to the Purpose, says he: It is one Thing whether a Proposition be indeed true in itself, and another whether a Man be bound to apprehend and believe it (q). A Man is bound to believe where doubting is unreasonable, for Diffidence unsupported by Reason, is as irrational, as Faith without Evidence, and Foundation. And with Respect to the Proofs of the Truth of the Christian Revelation, they are such, as admit not of rational doubting. Works, which Men really must and cannot but know, to be truly divine: And Discoveries of future Events, which depend on the free Actions of Men, are incontestable Proofs of a heavenly Appearance and Instruction: And if we have such Evidence, of Works of this Sort, being performed, and such Discoveries being made, as is thought sufficient to support us in the Belief of other Facts done, as long a Time since, it is here doubtless, altogether as valid and sufficient, and it is not Reason to scruple that Evidence, but downright Madness and Obstinance. Besides, no uncommon Degree of Knowledge and Improvement, are requisite to enable a Man, to discover that, interrupting the Course of the Sun, or of the Earth, is a Work proper to him, who gave Motion to the one, or to the other; whether it is a Work truly divine and proper to God, the...
the Fountain of Life, to raise a Person from the State of the Dead. A Man must as certainly, and as soon be persuaded of this, as that God formed the World, and upholds the Frame of Nature.

IX. Says he, The ablest and best of Men are disqualified for fair Reasoning, by their natural Prejudices(r). How! yes, how! The ablest and the best Men disqualified, &c. I ask how this Man came to be rid of all his natural Prejudices and Prepossessions, is he so happy? Why then may not some others also enjoy that Happiness? Does he think, that he is the only Person in the World who possesseth this most desirable Privilege? After this, surely, we may credit him, if not our Saviour, or his Apostles. Here is a Man divested of all Prejudice, the Thing which prevents the ablest and best of Men (well then he is not of that Number) of finding Truth: Let him therefore be our Oracle, and of this Person let us be content to learn these admirable Truths: That to believe a Doctrine attested by supernatural Works to be true, is fond and credulous: That to yield an Assent to the Truth of Facts, which all the Reason we have, dictates to us are indisputable, upon the Evidence afforded us in Confirmation of those Facts, is unreasonable. In short, let us give up ourselves to the Instruction, of this singularly happy Man, and let him enjoy an Honour, which the ablest and best of Men, because of their natural Prejudices, have no just Claim to, viz. to be esteemed fair and impartial in Reasoning. He is no doubt an Interpreter of Ten thousand. Had he not concealed his Name, what Honours would have been paid him, and what humble Submissions, would have been made to him! How in the World came

(r) P. 23.
came this non-such Man to affect Secrecy? If thro' Humility, he is more modest, in my Opinion, than he is discerning, whoever he be; but I don't take it, that this is the Fact: I rather think somewhat else, viz. a Consciousness of endeavouring to shock the Christian in his Faith, upon Grounds, far from being rational or just.

X. A rational Faith when attained would not answer the Ends (s). He instances in several Things, 1. It would not work Miracles. A mighty Discovery! Who ever thought that a Persuasion of the Truth of Christianity, upon the most reasonable Conviction, would enable a Man to perform miraculous Works? Christianity requires it not, suggests it not. 2. It would prove too cold. This is also granted without any Prejudice to the Cause of Christianity. 3. Too changeable (b). That some have altered in their Sentiments, with relation to very important Doctrines of the Christian Religion, is well known; and it is equally well known, that they have so chang'd, without reasonable Grounds and Motives: As did Dr. Whitby, to whom this Writer has Reference. 4. Would not administer that Spirit of Comfort, in the Reflection (u). This is freely granted. 5. Not of Force, sufficient, to command the Passions (w). This is also readily allow'd. 6. Much less to suffer Martyrdom (x). This is not denied. But what are all these Things to the Point in View? What if a Persuasion, upon the most rational Evidence of the Truth of the Christian Religion, will not influence a Person to all, or any of these Things; but something must be superadded to that Persuasion, is this any Proof, that the Belief of Christianity, is without rational Ground

(c) P. 24.  
(s) P. 29.  
(u) P. 30.
Ground and Evidence? Because Men act not up
to their Principles, is that to be objected to the
Reasonableness, of the Belief of those Principles?
A small Degree of Reason, far less to be sure,
than this Author is Master of, will enable a Per-
son to discover the Absurdity of such an Imagin-
nation.

Secondly, The second Thing this Writer un-
dertakes to prove, is, that Christ and his Apo-
stles, never proceeded in this Method of giving
rational Evidence, of the Truth of those Doctrines
they taught, but constantly required Men to be-
lieve without it.

Before I enter upon the Consideration of what
he offers, on this Head, I desire it may be care-
fully observed, that those Things which were
Proofs of the divine Mission of Christ, or of his
being a Teacher come from God, ought to be al-
low'd Proofs of the Truth of those Doctrines he
delivered: And that if his Apostles failed not to
give full Evidence, of their having a heavenly
Comission to teach, it is unreasonable, not to
allow that Evidence to be a Proof of the Truth of
those Points of Doctrine they inculcated. Now
Christ gave the fullest Proof of his divine Mission,
such as would not admit of the least reasonable
Doubt. He proves it by the Writings of the
Prophets, who all spake of him, of his Family
and Birth, of his Circumstances and Wisdom, of
his surprizing Works, of his Sufferings, Death
and Resurrection, all which Particulars were ex-
actly fulfilled in him, and therefore, were evi-
dent and undeniable Proofs, of the extraordinary
Mission of those Prophets, as well as of the di-
vine Mission and Authority of our Saviour him-
self. Again, he confirms it by his Works; the
miraculous Works which he performed bore wit-
nels of him, he healed the Sick, gave Sight to the Blind, cleansed Lepers, cast our Devils, and raised the Dead to Life; which were Works of such a Nature, as could only be effected by divine Power, and therefore, not to be performed by a Person who had not a divine Commission. To these Works Christ appeals, and urges them as Proofs of his being sent by God, both with the Jews and with his Disciples. With the Jews, If I do not the Works of my Father, believe me not: But if I do, tho' ye believe not me, believe the Works: That ye may know and believe, that the Father is in me, and I in him (y). In the same Manner he reasons with his Disciples: The Father that dwelleth in me, he doeth the Works. Believe me that I am in the Father, and the Father in me: Or else believe me for the very Works sake (z). Since our blessed Redeemer gave abundant and unexceptionable Proof, of his being clothed with divine Authority, is it reasonable to think he was too assuming, when he taught as one having Authority, and not as the Scribes, who were not invested with such heavenly Powers? Surely not. And since his Disciples had clear and full Evidence, of his divine Mission, was it unreasonable to expect, that they should readily assent to the Truth of what he taught: And were they not justly blamed for not believing him to be the Person, they had the highest Reason to conclude he was? Christ's reproving them for Incredulity, when they had all reasonable and sufficient Ground to believe, is objected to the Clearness and Sufficiency of the Evidence afforded to them, in order to Faith. And if they had appeared forward of Belief, then, no doubt, this Man would have represented them, as credulous,

(y) John x. 37, 38.  
(z) Chap. xiv. 10, 11.
and disposed to believe, without a solid and substantial Ground for Faith, and have argued that, for that Reason their Testimony is least deserving of our Notice. — This Man’s Sneer at the Disciples of our Lord, how much sooner he may be delighted with the fine Turn of Wit it contains in it, is as bold and impious, as ’tis low and trifling: It is this, they knew nothing of Reasoning, it was quite out of their Element; they bad had their Education on the Water, and tho’ they understood their Trade so far as to be well versed in mending their own Nets, would go near it is likely to be entangled themselves, when they had to do with the figurative ones of Sophistry or Syllogism (a). The Art of Logic is doubtless of Use in Reasoning; but that Men know nothing of Reasoning, who have not made themselves Masters of that Art, is an Observation, that a Man of the least Degree of good Sense or Modesty, would even blush to make. But why does this Man mention Sophistry? I hope he don’t think that every Syllogism is a Sophism; if he does, he is not much better acquainted with Logic, than the most credulous Christian he despises. Sophisms either express what is not true, or less than is true, or more than is so, and therefore, Truth is not to be taught or demonstrated by Sophisms; and by Consequence, a Teacher of Truth only, as our great Lord was, can’t be supposed to make use of Sophisms. Besides, Christ reasoned in the most clear and nervous Manner, infinitely better than this Man any where does; and if he pleases, he may put his Reasoning into a syllogistical Form, if that will give him Satisfaction: Thus, Whatever God gives Testimony to, is true; he gives Testimony to my Doctrine by his Word and by Works,

(a) P. 37.
and therefore my Doctrine is true. This is the Manner of Christ's Reasoning in John v. 36, 37. The Truth of the Proposition or Major must be evident to every Man, I suppose to this Author, and the Truth of the Minor cannot be call'd into Question, without giving the Lye to Christ, who is Truth; and at the same Time offering Violence to Reason, and therefore, the Assumption, I should think must necessarily be allow'd. Again, tho' the Disciples were Persons unprovided with Learning in the common Way, they did not remain illiterate, for by a Miracle they became such Linguists, as this Author may despair of ever being (b); which by the way is an evident Proof of their divine Mission. And Christ, who sent them to preach, was a Mouth and Wisdom to them, which all their Adversaries were not able to gainsay nor resist. Farther, is it to be expected of a divine Teacher, that he shall evidence the Truth of his Doctrine, by Argumentation and Reasoning from natural Principles? What Need is there for a Teacher, who proceeds in this Way only, to prove his Call to teach, by a pompous Shew of Miracles, since he advances no other Doctrines, than what he confirms by Argument and Logic? Is there any Necessity to excite Men's Belief of such Principles, by supernatural Works, which when clearly stated and fairly propos'd, they must needs know to be true by the Light of Nature? This surely is unnecessary. But, as our Saviour taught Doctrines, which Reason could never discover, tho' they are not contrary to it, it was proper and necessary, that his Mission from Heaven should be well attested, as it really was, that no Ground of Scruple might remain concerning the Truth of those Doctrines.

Moreover,

(b) Acts ii. 4, 8, 9, 10.
Moreover, since many of the Principles, our blessed Lord and his Apostles preached, were not discoverable by Reason, it is irrational to expect, that he or they should prove them by Reasoning and Logic: For that is arguing from some known and allowed Principle of Truth, to the Truth of some other Thing connected with it, dependent on it, and necessarily arising from it.

This Author charges our Lord with being backward of explaining to his Disciples the Doctrine he taught, but without the least Foundation, for tho' he reprov'd them sometimes, for their Incredulity, as he very justly might, he was never wanting to favour them with farther Instruction and Explication, upon Application to him for that Purpose. Another false Charge this bold Man dares to exhibit against him, viz. that he expected Conviction to precede Evidence, as the Terms of a Favour consequently to be enjoyed: Because in some Instances he asked Persons desiring a Miracle to be wrought by him, in their Favour: Believest thou that I am able. But how is this a Proof, that he expected Conviction to precede Evidence? He only call'd upon them to express that Faith they acted on him, supported by preceding Evidences of his divine Power and Mission. Farther, whereas he infers the same Thing, from the Pharisees requiring a Sign, and Christ's blaming them for it, he is quite beside the Truth. It by no Means appears, that Christ consider'd it Presumption and wanton Curiosity to expect Evidences of his heavenly Power and Authority, in order to believe in him, for many such he gave, to that End: But the Case in Fact was this, they wanted a Sign from Heaven (c), they would chuse the Sign themselves. Most unreasonable and

(c) Matt. xvi. 1.
and impious! What if divine Power is exerted to confirm the Truth of any Doctrine, in working a great Variety of Miracles, shall Men refuse to believe, because such a particular Miracle is not wrought, as they desire, and take upon them to dictate to the Almighty what Sort of Wonders he shall work, if he gains their Credit? Well might our Saviour call them an adulterous Generation, for this daring Presumption and Impiety. Yet let it be observed, that our Lord gave at the same Time, Assurance of such a Sign, which is an unexceptionable Proof of his divine Mission, viz., his Resurrection from the Dead, which was attended with an Appearance of Angels from Heaven.

We now come to the Apostles: This Writer might have spared every Word he here expresseth. He observes they had not Leisure, nor Qualifications for Reasoning (d). And what then, if they had not Leisure nor Qualifications, for doing what it was not their Business to do, no Damage will thence arise to the Cause of Christianity. They brought Doctrines agreeable to, and of which, Reason was capable of making some feeblener and less evident Discoveries. And they discarded of those Doctrines, in a much better Manner, than ever any Philosopher did, or than this Man, who despises them and their Writings, is able to do; and urged the Practice of all moral Virtues, upon the Command and Authority of God, and the Pain of his Displeasure, if Men did not; which Manner of treating on moral Subjects, is, I suppose, exceeding disagreeable to the Taste of this extraordinary Man. It is farther to be observed, that they taught some Doctrines, quite out of the Verge of Reason, concerning God, and his

(d) P. 37, 38.
his Purposes, concerning Sin and its Consequences, and a Deliverance from all the dreadful Effects of it, by the Mediation and Death of Christ: Things out of the Reach of Reason, and which it could never have discovered; it is therefore irrational, to expect Demonstration from Reason of their Truth. What the Apostles had to do, as Teachers of Mankind, was to prove their heavenly Mission and Authority, which when done, as it actually and fully was done: For God bore them Witness both with Signs and Wonders, and divers Miracles, and Gifts of the Holy Ghost; they might lawfully claim a Right to be heard and credited, without Wrangling and Dispute.

But this Person will not allow Miracles to be a sufficient Proof of Doctrine, because, as he is pleased to assert confidently enough, they have Time out of Mind undoubtedly been performed in Favour of false Doctrines (e). I find however incredulous the Author is, with Relation to Bible History, he is not so with Respect to other Histories, it requires, as he pretends, an extraordinary Degree of good Sense, and the Acquisition of a considerable Share of Learning, to be able to form a true Judgment of the Facts, recorded in the Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles: And they at most have only a Probability of Truth; but other Histories are easily understood, and the Facts reported in them may be proved true, and relied on, without that labour'd Reasoning necessary to prove the Truth of those Facts related in the Holy Scripture. I must take the Freedom to tell him, that as he is a Sceptic, with regard to Revelation, I am so with Respect to this confident Assertion of his, I doubt of its Truth, and challenge him to prove, that real Miracles were ever wrought in favour of false Doctrines:
Doctrines: Let him tell us of what sort, by whom, when, in what Place, upon whom, and before whom, they were performed. He who is so incredulous himself, where the Authority of Scripture is concerned, may surely allow another, not to take up with a Thing, upon his bare Affirmation, without proper Vouchers. I am tempted to think, how reasonablesoever this Demand is, he will excuse himself of the Labour, from a Consciousness of the Difficulty attending it. Let him not take lying Wonders for real and true Miracles, as here he does: This, says he, the Scripture it self confesses, when it warns us of lying Wonders, and false Christs (f). We see a Man of distinguished Capacity, and singular Accomplishments may mistake, where the rude and unpolish'd would not, he takes false Christs for the true Christ, and lying Wonders, for real and true Miracles: If he does not, he argues most impertinently. He is speaking of true Miracles, the Scripture speaks of lying Wonders, of Things that seem to be of an extraordinary Nature, but are not in Fact, what they seem to be. But, because lying Wonders and feigned Miracles, may be performed by Imposters and false Teachers, it by no means follows, that real and true Miracles may, such as were wrought by Christ and his Apostles. Lying Wonders may be done by lying Teachers, but true Wonders can only be effected by Teachers of Truth, in Confirmation of their Doctrines. I can no more believe, that God would exert his Power to work Wonders, to confirm a Lye, than I can think, he is able to express a Falsity, the former seems to my Understanding, as irrational as the latter, and as much contrary to the Rectitude and Truth of the divine Nature.

(f) Ibid
nature. Next follows a very extraordinary Observation, in our Author’s Performance, viz. The Miracles of Christ and his Apostles, says he, were natural Effects of Gospel-Benevolence (g). And what then, do they lose any thing of their Force, because of that? What, because they were Works of Mercy, as well as of Power, is the Evidence they afford less clear and shining? It may be it would have greatly gratified this Writer, if the Miracles of our Saviour and of his Apostles had been of a contrary Nature, if Men had been rendered miserable instead of being made happy: If they had been delivered into the Hand of Satan to torment and rack them, instead of being rescu’d out of his power, if they had been kill’d instead of being rais’d to Life when dead: I say perhaps, Wonders of such a Sort would have highly gratified him, that he might have had an Opportunity of objecting to the Christian Religion, as introduced with Cruelty and Vengeance, and not suitable to the Goodness of God, and therefore not likely to be any Religion supported by his Authority. Let this Man perform Works of the same Nature, and display the same Benevolence as Christ and his Apostles did, in healing the sick, giving Sight to the Blind, cleansing Lepers, and rais’ing the dead to Life, if he is able, and I will acknowledge him to have a better Title to being credited in his bold Assertions, than at present, I can persuade myself, he has a just Pretension to.

Again, he observes, especial Care was taken not to have them made public (h). Our Lord then was not ostentatious and ambitious of popular Applause. So far sure he may be intituled to the Characters of humble and modest. It had been happy for this Man, if in any Degree, his Temper and

(g) P. 47.  (h) P. 48.
Conduct had given him a Claim to Characters like these. But, what this Person aims at, in this Observation, he will never be able to prove, viz. that our Saviour did not work Miracles, in the Presence of a sufficient Number of Men, to give an undeniable Testimony to their Truth: For various of his Miracles were done in the Presence of many Witnesses, yea in the View of his Enemies, and they were obliged to confess the Truth of them; that is to say, such of his Miracles, as he intended should stand in the Face of the World, for Proofs of his Authority and heavenly Powers. And his forbidding those on whom miraculous Cures were wrought in private, spreading them abroad, is an Evidence, that he was resolved the Proof of his divine Power and Authority, should not rest on Facts, which, on Account of their Secrecy might be disputed; but on such Facts, as were well known and might be attested, beyond all Possibility of Contradiction. Farther, he remarks that, seeking them was discouraged, and influences in Herod (i). This is recorded in Luke xxiii. 8. If an extraordinary Person appears in the World, and gives Proof of his heavenly Mission, in the Presence of many Witnesses, shall it be Matter of Charge against him, or thought a Defect in the Evidence of his Authority, if he will not satisfy the vain Humour and Curiosity of every one who shall expect it, and take upon him to demand it? Is it fit that infinite Wisdom should be directed by the unreasonable Will of Man, and Almighty Power be called forth to work Wonders, to gratify the Curiosity of every impertinent Seeker of Signs. Apprehensions of this Sort, can only be agreeable to such kind of Men, as this Writer is, who have less of Reason than Incredulity.

(i) P. 49.
His principal Objection is still behind, and he seems to expect its Weight will bear down all before it; "tis this: Miracles are no longer Evidences of the Truth of any Doctrine than they are continued: Nor, to any other Persons, than those who see them (k). By Age, it seems they lose all their glaring Evidence, and by Time intervening the whole of their Force sinks and vanishes. Fine Reasoning indeed and suitable enough to a Free-thinker, i.e. a Man free from Prejudice it may be in every Thing except Religion, wherein, in an especial Manner he ought so to be: But, in that, deeply and perhaps irrecoverably sunk into the basest and most unreasonable Prejudices. This Person of free Enquiry, thinks it, I suppose, reasonable to conclude, that the World has existed more than seventeen Hundred Years, that such Men as Alexander, Cicero and Julius Caesar really were, and that the last named was assassinated by Brutus and others. And yet he cannot know either of these Particulars, upon other or better Testimonies, than he may know the Certainty of the Miracles of Christ, which are related not only by his Friends, but by Enemies also. If we consider that the Disciples of Jesus were not credulous, but diffident, and with great Difficulty were persuaded who Christ was, and what was the true Nature of his Work, and not without the fullest Evidence: If we consider, that they had nothing to expect in embracing his Religion, (that is to say in this World) but Hatred, Reproach, Contempt and the most cruel Persecution, and Death itself: Things which Men don't usually choose, except for some very important Reasons; and that they cheerfully took up with the greatest Afflictions, and voluntarily submitted to Death, out of a religious Re-
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gard to their great and good Master, and to seal the Truth of their Testimony concerning him, and the Truth of those Doctrines, they had learned from him: If we consider that one of his Apostles was a Zealot in a Religion (as corrupted in that Age) opposite to his, was miraculously converted to it, readily embraced it, and bravely defended it, tho' he hereby expos'd himself to the greatest Dangers and Sufferings, to Perils by Sea, to Perils by Land, yea that Bonds and Afflictions attended him wherever he went, and that at length he also sealed the Truth of his Testimony concerning his Lord, in whom he gloried, with the Loss of his Life: If we consider the Predictions of future Events concerning the Nation of the Jews, the Destruction of their Temple, and of their Polity and Government, and their Dispersion in the World; and the Predictions of future Events relating to the Church of Rome, in her Principles and Manners, delivered in the Gospels and Epistles; and the exact Accomplishment of those Predictions: I say if we consider these Things, we must surely be unreasonably incredulous, if we hesitate a single Moment concerning the Truth of Christianity. As I have before observed, it is as irrational to doubt without Reason, as it is to believe without Evidence. He who does the latter is an Enthusiast, and he who does the former, acts a Part equally absurd and unreasonable.

Now, what is it that the Sceptic with any plausible Shew, can object to the Evidences of Christianity? Were those Evidences few? No, but numerous. Were they performed in a Corner and in the Presence of Friends only? No, but publicly and in the View of Enemies, and the Truth of the Facts they attest, tho' they ascribe them to a wrong Power. Did the chosen Witnesses of Christianity
Christiannity gain Ease, Wealth, Honmour, or Power, by their Testimony? No, it expos'd them to Disgrace, Poverty, Loss of Liberty and Life it self; if therefore, they impo'sed upon the World, it was without any Temptation, nay even contrary to all their own Interests, (that is supposing their Testimony is false) and consequently we must think they acted not only a fraudulent, but a most foolish Part, and ran upon their own DeSTRUCTION, without any thing of Moment, or Weight to in-vite them to it. This Man, inconsistent as he is, when he thinks that he shall gain some Advantage to the Cause of Infidelity, and do prejudice to the Interest and Truth of Christianity by it, can observe a rational Conviction of the Evi-dences of the Christian Religion, will not enable Men to part with present Good and Pleasures, for distant Hopes and future Enjoyments: And now he can be content to suppose, that the Apostles resigned all their Ease, Pleasures, and the Enjoyments of Life, without any future Good in Hope or Expectation. Is there a Disagreement in the Testimony of these Witnesses, do they contradict one another? No, their Relations of Facts agree in all Material Circumstances. Was there any Age, in which the Christian Religion was unknown, and in which there were none of that Sect: Or can any other Account be given of their Rise and Spread, of their Principles, Conduct and Sufferings, sufficient to set aside the Account of them in all these Respects, which is transmitted down to us, in those Pages they esteem sacred? No. Do those Writings contain anything absurd, that is to say, which contradicts our Senses, and is repugnant to Reason? Not so: They indeed inform us of some Things, we could never have known, without such heavenly Intel-
ligence; but tho' those Things are undiscoverable by Reason, they are not repugnant to it. Are their moral Rules defective, do they make any Allowances for the Weaknesses and Foibles of Mankind, do they spare a darling Lust and permit Men to indulge a favourite Passion? No, but their Precepts of Morality are pure and strictly rigid, and such as might be expected to come from God. Were they immoral and dissolute in their Behaviour? No, they had a true Fear of God, a zealous Concern for his Glory, ador'd serv'd and obey'd him, even to the Hazard of their Lives: They were inoffensive, meek, patient, submissive, temperate, compassionate, just and humble in their Deportment. These are the true Characters of the Men this Infidel would persuade us, palm'd a Forgery upon God, and put a Cheat on the World, and to their Disadvantage in this State, and to their certain Destruction in a future one, if this Man in Reality will allow us to think that Men do and will exist after Death, What Reason therefore can be offered, why these Evidences and Testimonies of the Truth of Christianity should not be credited? No solid, no substantial one can be assign'd, and therefore he is not a wise Man, who withholds his Assent, when such Evidences demand it; he is not governed by Reason, how much soever he boasts of it; but Humour and the most unreasonable Prejudice.

Miracles are necessary to confirm a Doctrine, that is absolutely undiscoverable by Reason; but that when Miracles are done to that End, to suppose there must be a Succession of other Miracles, to support the Truth of those before done, is a wild Imagination. The Miracles already wrought, ought to be eternally allowed what they are in Fact, viz. sufficient Evidences of the Truth of those
those Doctrines they were perform'd in Confirmation of. The Intervention of Time changes not the Nature of these Evidences and Testimonies, as this Person urges it does; they were truly divine, and cannot become human, which he affirms they do. The utmost which can be pleaded by this Infidel, or any other on this Head, is, that our Knowledge of these supernatural Proofs of the Christian Religion, is acquired in the same Way we get the Knowledge of other Facts done as long a Time since; but this proves no Change in the Nature of those Evidences. I find our Author's Logic fails him sometimes, as great a Proficient as he is in that Art. To believe Doctrines concerning God, his Purposes, and his Methods of Proceedure towards offending Creatures, either in a Way of Penalty or Mercy, which Reason could not discover, or the Light of Nature could not point out to us, without any supernatural Evidences, or divine Testimonies of their being true, might I think, be very justly cenfured and pronounced Enthusiasm: But, to expect the Continuation of such Evidences, or to require a perpetual working of Miracles, in order to our yielding an Assent to those Doctrines, is bold and impious. It is sufficient that in our Age, we have such Proof that Miracles, great and wonderful, were once wrought to confirm the Truth of Christianity, as we allow to be full and convincing in any Thing else. And why that Proof may not be thought so here, I should be glad if this Infidel, or any other, would plainly tell us. Will this Man believe nothing but what he has seen, or does see? Does he think it any unreasonable Thing so to do? I imagine not. Does he think the World to be no larger in Compass, than what has fallen under his View? Can he persuade himself that more
more Men have not liv'd, or do live upon the Earth, than he has seen, or does see? Would he scruple to punish a Person, as the Law directs, that should privately steal his Property, upon the Testimony of credible Witnesses, tho' it was done in his Absence? Would he decline to bring a Murderer of a Friend or of a Relative to Justice, because he did not see the horrid Fact committed? I can't think he would be so incredulous, as not to prosecute such a Wretch, upon the Testimony and Evidence of others: That Kind of Evidence which he will not allow to be a rational Proof of a divine Testimony, being often given to the Truth of Christianity, will serve his Turn, yea far less, I doubt not, where Life is concern'd. And therefore, he is most unreasonable, not to grant, That that Kind of Proof is here rational, certain, and every Way sufficient. I cannot think that he would have his Manner of Reasoning take place in any Thing besides Christianity; or, that he would stand to the Consequences of it, in any one Thing else. That seeing indeed is believing, has ever been allow'd Reasoning, says he, but that I am to believe a Thing because another says he saw it, and it is not in my Power to prove a Negative, and contradict him, is surely a very unprecedented and new sort of Logic (1). Not so unprecedented and new, but this Infidel has acted, doth and will act upon it, in the most weighty Affairs which can occur in Life, or else he really is a Noun Substantive, and differs from all the rest of Mankind.

Thirdly, I now proceed to consider what he offers under his third Head of Discourse. Here he sets up for an Interpreter of Scripture, the Authority of which he disputes, and therefore, according

(1) P. 53.
cording to him nothing is to be proved by it. In this Place he labours exceedingly to render it ridicous, and puts such a Sense upon the Terms and Expressions of the Word of God, as he thinks will effectually answer his Design. This Infidel contends, that the Scripture makes it the Privilege of every Man in the World to be infallible, that every Individual of Mankind, is immediately, and at once render'd perfect in heavenly Knowledge, without the Exercise of his reasoning Faculties, or taking into Consideration, what Discoveries are made in the Bible of divine Truths. But what if this Man mistakes the Scripture, and the Principle he advances, and palms upon it, is not there found; but is as contrary to that, as it is to all Experience and good Sense? Then his Snear upon the Bible, and its holy Doctrines, will appear as groundless as it is impious. And that this is the Fact, will quickly be evident.

The Word of God, is the Rule of our Faith, or it contains all those important Truths, which it concerns us to know, in order to our Happiness; it is able to make us wise unto Salvation: For as it is the only Rule we have, by which we are to form our Judgments of divine Things, it is a perfect one.—It is plain and explicit, and suited to the Capacities of Persons unfurnished with Learning and Science. Its Doctrines are sublime and mysterious, but the Language in which they are there express'd, tho' not destitute of the greatest Beauties, is easy to be understood: So admirably are Simplicity, Elegance, and Majesty attenpered together in the sacred Style.—It is the Duty of all Men to read and study the Bible; they ought to search the Scriptures, and search them daily, and compare spiritual Things with spiritual, i. e. one Part of holy Writ with ano-
And it is their indispensable Duty, to pray that they may have Hearts disposed to conform their Conduct to the excellent Rules therein prescrib'd. — And therefore, no immediate Inspiration is to be expected from Heaven, to instruct us into the Knowledge of Truth. That is absolutely unneedful, according to the scriptural Account of Things: For every heavenly Truth we are required to believe and embrace, is therein discovered and proposed to our Enquiry: To the Law, and to the Testimony: If they speak not according to this Word, it is because there is no Light in them. Hence it is evident, that this Writer puts a forced and unnatural Sense upon various Texts, to introduce what he knew to be absurd and false in Fact, and must of Necessity expose Christianity to the greatest Contempt. The holy Scripture gives us no Reason to expect an immediate Revelation of any divine Truth to our Understanding, as he confidently affirms it does; but whatever we stand oblig'd to believe, by Virtue of its Authority, is already therein reveal'd, and proposed to our serious Consideration and free Enquiry. Indeed we have need of divine Illumination, to enable us to discover the Excellency, Glory, and Importance of those momentous Doctrines, the Scripture teaches us, and which we, as Men, by a due Exercise of our reasoning Powers, without this Illumination, may discover are therein contain'd. This is graciously promised, and is certainly communicated, to whomssoever it is agreeable to the Will of God so far to favour.

What then becomes of all the curious Observations of this Infidel, with Relation to a Conveyance of heavenly Knowledge, in an immediate Manner to the Soul? As of universal Influence, of proper Weight, of instant Effect, of absolute Certainty,
to be supported by Argument. 33

Certainty, and such as Books could never furnish (m). This is no Principle of Revelation: Nor will he ever be able to prove, that it countenances Enthusiasm, which he so eagerly charges it with, and with an uncommon Assurance palms upon it, to promote his wicked Design of bringing it under Disgrace. This is no other than a Notion of his Invention, and therefore, he is only exposing a Brat of his own fertile Brain. The Christian Religion is not in the least affected by all he is pleased to say upon this Head.—The Infidel is guilty of the most flagrant Contradiction, when he says, the Tender of this Conviction greatly depends upon the Disposition of our Minds to give it Reception, for its Efficacy (n). It seems after all, it is not an actual Conveyance of Light to the Soul, but is only an Offer of it: Just now it was so strong a Light flashing into the Mind, that it was of instant Effect, and bore away all Prejudices and Darknes before it. But by this Time it is sunk into a bare Tender of Knowledge, if Men will receive it. Can this Man expect to be in the least regarded, when he so egregiously trifles, and manifestly contradicts himself?

Infidelity is a Crime, and may be so proved, but not in the Way he points out (o). If Men have the same rational Grounds to believe that Christianity is true, as they have that the World has existed so long a time, as that is supposed to have been introduced into it, they must be guilty of Perverseness and Obstinacy, to call the Truth of it into question: And that they have such rational Grounds, is unquestionably Fact.——That Men are culpable in embracing of Heresies is certainly true: For if the Scripture expressists its Doctrines, in Words easy to be understood, but Men will

(m) P. 58, 59, 60, 61.  (n) P. 63.  (o) P. 64.
not allow them their proper Meaning, because they disbelieve the Doctrine, they must in that case grant to be true; they act a very criminal Part; and this is really the Fact.—The Infidel speaks of the sudden Conversion of some Persons as a Thing ridiculous (p). But he ought to have proved, that those Conversions were without rational Grounds; since he has not done this, he truly becomes what he falsely represents them to be, exceeding ridiculous. The Samaritans had just Reason to conclude that Person to be endued with heavenly Powers, who could give a Woman a Narrative of her Life, whom, till that Time, he had not seen, and with whom he had not before conversed.—The Writings of the Prophets plainly foretold the Sufferings and Death of the Messiah, and his Resurrection from the Dead, and therefore, the Disciples of our Lord, were culpable in not believing what they had such Means of knowing to be true, consequently they were justly reproved by Christ for their Incredulity, notwithstanding all this Infidel offers to prove the contrary (q).

The next Thing he labours, is to prove that there is a Repugnancy between Religion and Reason (r). It is allowed that the Christian Religion contains Mysteries, which are above and not discoverable by Reason; such are the Doctrines of the Trinity, of the Incarnation of the Son of God, his Substitution in the Sinner’s Room, bearing his Guilt and Punishment, &c. But neither of these Things is repugnant to Reason, nor will ever be prov’d opposite and contrary to it, by this Man, or any other breathing. It is no Prejudice to the Cause of reveal’d Religion, or Evidence that it is destitute of rational Proof, that Philosophers have oppos’d it, because they could not comprehend it.

(p) P. 64.  (q) P. 65.  (r) P. 71, 72.
All that in Justice can be demanded to a rational Proof of its Doctrines, is, that it is a Revelation from God; that once prov'd, it is unreasonable to hesitate concerning the Truth of its Doctrines, how much soever those Doctrines may exceed our Comprehension. The Conduct of the Philosopher, who disbelieves Revelation, because he finds it contains Principles that transcend his Reason, speaks the Language of his Heart to be this: That if God will reveal his Will to Men, if he express more, or any other Thing, than what might be known and demonstrated, before and without this Revelation of himself, the Truth he express can lay no Claim to his Assent. This is the impious Principle of Philosophy and vain Deceit, which the Scripture warns us against. And hence arises all the Philosopher's Opposition to the Gospel of Christ. The holy Word of God contains nothing, teaches not any Thing contradictory to Reason, tho' it discovers some Things that Reason could not come at the Knowledge of, without this Revelation of them; which Things therefore, it is not to be expected should be proved by Argumentation, or Reasoning from such Principles, as the Light of Nature leads us to acknowledge are true. Nor is it to be thought strange, that revealed Religion should contain Principles mysterious and incomprehensible, since natural Religion obliges us to believe what we are unable to comprehend, viz. the eternal Existence of God, his Immenseness and Infinite Knowledge: And the Production of all Things out of nothing, by an Act of his almighty Power. But why do I mention natural Religion, when I am considering what this Infidel objects to revealed; since he seems to give very little Credit even to that? I have sometimes thought it is impossible, that any one
in human Shape can be an Atheist in Opinion; but, perhaps, I may be mistaken in that: For this Infidel seems to apprehend that the Being of God, will not admit of evident and unexceptionable Proof: It seems to be a Question with him, whether natural Religion hath rational and sufficient Evidence to support it. I own, I hardly thought it possible, that a Creature possessed of the lowest Degree of Reason, could advance any Thing irrational. Dr. Clarke, it seems, by producing ingenious Arguments to prove the Being of God, has, in the Opinion of this Infidel, contributed to Atheism, and thrown Men into Doubting and Scruples about it; what Sort of Men they are, is easy to guess, such as this Man is, or who have as little Reason as himself, and really are a Disgrace to human Nature.

He thinks that a Christian's Examination of Christianity is absurd (s). But why must it be thought so? A Man has undoubtedly a Right to examine the Evidences of the Christian Religion; but then, as he may examine freely, I hope it will be allow'd he ought to examine impartially, and admit those Evidences to be sufficient here, which he will not deny to be so in any Thing else, if such Evidences are here to be found, and that they are not this Infidel will never be able to prove. — Infallibility he makes a necessary Qualification of a Christian Preacher (t). But very absurdly, for as the Preacher and the Hearer have one and the same Rule of Faith, the Hearer, tho' he may not be capable of expressing so well, and illustrating those Ideas of divine Truths, which he forms in his Mind, and discovers are contained in the Word of God, yet he is able to discern, when that Doctrine the Preacher delivers, is agreeable to the holy Scripture, for all divine

(t) P. 87    (s) P. 90.
divine Truths are therein express'd, in such Language as he understands himself. And therefore an infallible Interpreter of this infallible Rule is unnecessary. Farther he asserts that, Examination can't be under any Obedience (v). Strange! no, what if the Evidences of the Thing examined are clear, full, and every way sufficient? Then a Man is not bound to believe, where his Reason demands a ready and firm assent of him. What may be examined may be rejected, says he, (w). May it so? I hope not merely because it may be examined. The Opinion of the Being of God may be examined, but a Man is not at Liberty to reject it: And he must be a Fool that does. The Opinion of God's creating the World may be examined, or the Evidences of it may be enquired into, but it may not be rejected. The Opinion of the Immortality of the Soul may be examined, but it may not be rejected; and a Man must offer Violence to Reason, if he will reject it. The Opinion that intelligent Creatures stand obliged to honour God and practice Virtue may also be examined; but it may not be rejected: Nor is it necessary that a Man should doubt of the Truth of either of these things, when he begins to examine into the Evidences of them. Christianity may doubtless be examin'd; but it ought not to be rejected: For it hath such Evidences and Arguments in its Favour, as would certainly be allowed sufficient, to prove the Truth of any one thing else in the World. Neither is it necessary for a Man to become an Infidel, in order to his being a rational Christian: as it is not necessary to become an Atheist, in order to discover with Certainty the Being of God. And as a Man would not be excusable in becoming an Atheist, when he examines the Evidences and Arguments
of the Existence of Deity; so he would be inexcusable in becoming an Infidel, when he sets himself about the Examination of the Evidences of Christianity. And yet a Man can't be supposed to believe the Being of God without Evidence; nor the Truth of the Christian Religion without it. For as soon as a Man knows, what Idea the Words God and Deity stand for, so soon he must necessarily discern the Evidences of the Existence of God, and therefore, cannot reasonably admit any Doubt concerning it. And as soon as a Man can frame an Idea of what Christianity is, or understand what it means, so soon must he necessarily discover sufficient Evidences of its Truth, and by Consequence cannot reasonably be in doubt about it. I dare say, if a Man will but allow that to be sufficient Evidence and Proof here, which he will not deny to be so in any thing else, he will not, he cannot hesitate concerning the Truth of Christianity a single Moment. — And tho' we esteem the Religion of Jesus the most sacred Thing in the World, as we have nothing to fear from a fair Opponent, (if such it can possibly have) we are not against the most rigid (let it be but impartial) Examination, of the Evidences and Arguments on which we form our Persuasion of its Truth. Neither will we ever call upon the civil Magistrate, to put a Stop to the Reasoning of Infidels against Christianity: For 'tis Pity but they should be allowed the free Exercise of the little Reason they have, and from which we know, no Prejudice can ever arise to the glorious Cause we defend. Yet we can't but wish (for their own sakes) that their Talents in arguing were better employ'd. — With Respect to what this Man observes, of its being the Opinion of Bishop Beveridge, that a Conveyance of heavenly Light is necessary to a saving Knowledge of the Doctrines of Christianity (x); it

(x) P. 103, 104.
is a Truth which Revelation abundantly teaches us. A Man may know those Doctrines to be true, by the bare Exercise of his reasoning Faculties, upon the Word of God; but he cannot enter into the Spirit, Importance and Glory of them, without the Superaddition of heavenly Light. This gracious Influence upon the Mind is not a Discovery of Truths which Men had not Means of knowing before, and which they could not by the Help of such Means discover without it. For those Doctrines, which under this benign Influence and heavenly Guidance, are apprehended to be of the greatest Importance, glorious, and every way worthy of their divine Author, are express'd in the holy Scripture, in such Language as Men may easily understand, and therefore may learn that those Doctrines are Truths, by a proper Exercise of their reasoning Powers, upon Revelation, without this Supernatural Light and Influence.

I. Let me instance in the Doctrine of the Deity of our Saviour. He is represented to have been, or existed in the Beginning, and is affected to be God: In the Beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God (γ). He is called the mighty God (ζ), the true God (ε), over all, God blessed for ever (θ). He hath ascribed to him those Perfections, which are incommunicable to a Creature, and are certainly proper to a Deity, viz. Eternity (ζ), Immutability (δ), Omnisciency (ε), Omnipresence (ζ), Omnipotence (ζ). He is affirmed to have made all things, and is denied that any Thing was made without him that was made (θ). He is declared to have

(γ) John i. 1.  (ζ) Isa. ix. 6.  (ε) 1 John v. 20. 
(θ) Rom. ix. 5.  (ε) John i. 1.  (δ) Heb. xiii. 8. 
(θ) John i. 3.
have laid the Foundations of the Earth, and the Heavens are asserted to be the Works of his Hands.(i) Even in those very Records, which constantly make Creation a Work proper to God, and argue his Being, Power and Wisdom from it. He is propos'd as the Object of Worship, in those very Writings which throughout condemn the Worship of a Creature. He is thy Lord and worship thou him (k). Again, when he bringeth in the first begotten into the World, he faith and let all the Angels of God worship him (l). Christians are to be baptized into his Name as to worne. (m). Prayer is directed to him jointly with the Father (n). And of him Grace and Peace are implor'd (o). Doxologies of Praise are ascribed to him (p). And together with the Father he is adored (q). These Particulars concerning our Saviour are asserted in the Scripture, in Language, so plain, explicite and full, that Art and Criticism are not necessary to understand it. Much of both indeed are required to evade the Force of these clear Testimonies, in Favour of this fundamental Truth of the Christian Religion. And the Use Men of Letters make of both, to obscure the Light of these Evidences, only serves to few us, that learned Accomplishments enable them to argue in such a perverse and unreasonable Manner upon the Scripture, as a Man of Sense would even blush to do, upon any human Writings in the World. But I suppose it is excusable to argue most perversely here, provided it is learnedly.

II. I desire to instance in the Doctrine of Atonement and Satisfaction, by the Death of Christ. He is said to have bore our Sins in his own Body on

(i) Heb. i. 10, 11, 12.  (k) Phil. xlv. 11.  (l) Heb. i. 6.  (m) Mat. xxviii. 19.  (n) 2 Thes. i. 16.  (o) Eph. i. 2.  (p) Jude v. 24.  (q) Rev. vii. 10.
to be supported by Argument.

on the Tree (r). The Lord laid on him the Iniquities of us all (s). For he bath made him to be Sin, for us, who knew no Sin (t). Christ was wounded for our Transgressions he was, bruised for our Iniquities (u). The Messiah was cut off, but not for himself (w). Our blessed Saviour was made a Curse for us (x). It pleased the Lord to bruise him, and he bath put him to Grief (y). The Chastisement of our Peace was upon him, and with his Stripes are we healed (z). He gave his Life a Ransom for many (a). Our gracious Redeemer purges our Conscience from dead Works, in Consequence of his offering himself thro' the eternal Spirit, without Spot to God (b). Once in the End of the World hath he appeared to put away Sin, by the Sacrifice of himself (c). Unto him who bath loved us, and washed us from our Sins in his own Blood (d). The Blood of Jesus Christ his Son, cleanseth us from all Sin (e). Christ has made Peace by the Blood of his Crucifix (f). He has made Reconciliation for Iniquity (g). Much more then being ason justified by his Blood, we shall be saved from Wrath thro' him (b). When we were Enemies we were reconciled to God, by the Death of his Son (i). He is the Propitiation for our Sins (k). A Man who shall tell us, that Art and Criticism are necessary to discover the Truth of the Satisfaction of Christ, which is so clearly and explicitly declared, in these Scriptures, may with equal Reason tell us, that the Sun is not visible, when it shines brightest upon us, and we are unable to bear its dazzling Rays, and that a

(r) 1 Pet. ii. 24. (s) Isa. liii. 6. (t) 2 Cor. v. 21.
(y) Isa. liii. 10. (z) Ibid. v. 5. (a) Mat. xx. 28.
(b) Heb. ix. 14. (c) Ibid. v. 26. (d) Rev. i. 5.
(e) 1 John i. 7. (f) Col. i. 20. (g) Dan. ix. 24.
(b) Rom. v. 9. (i) Ibid. v. 10. (k) 1 John i. 2.

Tellecope
Tellescope is necessary to discover it. Men of Learning have long endeavour'd, by Art and Criticism, to darken and hide from View the strong Light, which flows in upon us, from these and other sacred Testimonies, in Favour of this important Doctrine, but all in vain. Their Endeavours this Way, only evidence, that their Acquirements enable them to argue against the clearest Testimonies, which can possibly be given of divine Truths, that approve not themselves to their Liking and good Opinion.

III. I beg leave to instance in the Doctrine of the Necessity and Efficacy, of the Operations of the Spirit of God, upon the Souls of Men, in order to their Regeneration and Sanctification. Except a Man be born again, he cannot see the Kingdom of God (1). No Man can come to Christ, except the Father draw him (m). The carnal Mind is Enmity against God, for it is not subject to the Law of God, neither indeed can be (n) They who are in the Flesh cannot please God (o). Without Faith it is impossible to please God (p). Who were born not of Bloods, nor of the Will of the Flesh, nor of the Will of Man, but of God (q). Who of his abundant Mercy hath begotten us again, to a lively hope (r). Thro' Faith, and that not of yourselves, it is the Gift of God (s). We are his Workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good Works (t). For it is God who worketh in you, both to will and to do of his good Pleasure(u). Not by Works of Righteousness, which we have done; but of his Mercy hath he saved us by the washing of Regeneration, and the Renewing of the holy Ghost (w). Who hath saved us, and called us with an holy Calling, not according to our

Works

(1) John iii. 3.  (m) Chap. vi. 44.  (s) Rom. viii. 7.
(2) Ibid. v. 8.  (p) Heb. xi. 5.  (g) John i. 13.
(r) 1 Pet. i. 3.  (s) Eph. ii. 8.  (y) Ibid. v. 10.
(u) Phil. ii. 13.  (w) Tit. iii. 5.
Works, but according to bis own Purpose and Grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus before the World began (x). I thank thee, O Father, Lord of Heaven and Earth, because thou hast hid these Things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto Babes: Even so Father, for so it seemed good in thy Sight (y). God who commanded the Light to shine out of Darkness, bath shined in our Hearts, to give the Light of the Knowledge of the Glory of God in the Face, or Person of Jesus Christ (z). A new Heart also will I give you, and a new Spirit will I put within you, and I will take away the stony Heart out of your Flesb, and I will give you an Heart of Flesh (a). Thy People shall be willing in the Day of thy Power (b). For thou also hast wrought all our Works in us (c). And what is the exceeding Greatness of his Power, to us-ward, who believe, according to the Working of his mighty Power, which he wrought in Christ, when he raised him from the dead (d). And you bath be quickened, who were dead in Trespasses and Sins (e). The Evidence which these numerous Texts with many others, afford to prove the Doctrine of the Necessity, Efficacy, and gracious Effects, of the Operations of the Grace and Spirit of God upon us, has cost many learned Men great Pains and Labour, in Art and Criticism to obscure it; but altogether in vain. For like the Sun it will shine thro' the thickest Clouds, they will ever be able to raise in order to darken and keep it from our Sight. Here again I must observe, that Art and Criticism are absolutely unnecessary to discover that shining Evidence. Persons of mean Capacities, and unfurnished with Learning and Science
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(x) 2 Tim. i. ix.  (y) Mat. xi. 25. 26.  (z) 2 Cor. iv. 6.  (a) Ezek.xxxvi. 26.  (b) Psal. cx. 3.  (c) Isa. xxvi. 12.  (d) Eph. i. 19. 20.  (e) Chap. ii. 4.
may discover it. Upon the whole, it is very apparent that, this Writer dreadfully abuses the Scripture, and puts a forced and unnatural Sense upon it, with relation to what it delivers concerning the heavenly Influence of the Spirit of God, upon the Souls of Men. That is not a Conveyance of the Knowledge of any divine Truths, which Men had not Means of discovering before, and which they were incapable of knowing to be such: But by this Influence, they are enabled to see the Excellency of, and to discern the Goodness, Wisdom, Holiness and Faithfulness of God, which are therein displayed in the fullest Manner. To imagine that God now affords such Light, as will enable us to make Discoveries of Truths, not already revealed to us, in his Word, is real Enthusiasm; and has nothing to support it in the holy Scriptures: On the contrary, such a wild Conceit stands there awfully condemn’d. But that he communicates Light and Grace, to assist us in our Enquiries into those Truths, he hath graciously been pleas’d to inform us of, in his Word, and by the Help of which, we discern the Glory of these Truths, is a precious Doctrine the Bible contains, and is at a great Remove from Enthusiasm, and is not in the least absurd or irrational.

It is Time for me to recapitulate and sum up what I have before observ’d, and I must take leave to give the Reader this Infidel’s Recapitulation reversed: or to assert the contrary of what he does in every Particular.

’Tis evident that Men would very little differ in their Sentiments, relating to Christian Doctrines, if the Language of the Scripture, which is plain and easy to be understood, was interpreted in its obvious and natural Sense—That such Accomplishments, as require
require Time and Pains to attain; are not neces-
sary to understand the Scripture, in the most
momentous Points of Doctrine, it requires us to
believe—That the Proofs of a Christian Faith
are such, and so clear, and so full, that a Course
of Study to apprehend them is not necessary:
Those Proofs may be understood as soon as Men
have learn'd to know, what Ideas the Terms and
Expressions used in the holy Scripture stand for—
That a rational Conviction therefore, of the
Truth of the Christian Revelation, is not the
Privilege only of a few Students and Specula-
tists—That there is a certain Connection be-
twixt the Notion of Duty, and assenting ratio-
nally to a Proposition well supported. The Rea-
son is plain, a Man is inexcusable to disbelieve
that which he sees evident Reason to conclude is
true.—That the Arguments are but Motives to
Assent, it is not a Contradiction to assert, that
we are oblig'd to let them be conclusive, if they
are clear and justly founded, and regularly drawn.
—That there is not such a Complication of Cir-
cumstances to be determined upon, Consequences
regularly drawn, and a summoning of Evidence
in order to the Proof of Christianity, that should
hinder the Certainty of the Event, in an impartial
Enquirer, and therefore, it may be foretold and
preferred—That the Proofs of Christianity are
so evident, and of such Force, that they are cal-
culated to produce an Assent, from every Man,
to whom they are proposed, as soon as under-
stood. And those Proofs are of so easy and plain
a Nature, that it is a Dishonour, to the Names
of Locke and Newton, to suggest that Capacities
like theirs, are necessary to understand their Force
and Weight—That Christianity may not only
be enforced, as a Fact, but it may also be prov'd
true
true as a Gospel, in Vertue of such Arguments, as approve themselves to that Reason, of which all Men are possesse'd—That all Men have Leave and Right to examine the Evidences of Christianity, and determine of its Truth, as the Matter shall appear to their Reason; but it is to be obser'd, that those Evidences are such, that they must necessarily appear pregnant Proofs of its Truth. And therefore, by granting Men Liberty to examine these Evidences and Proofs, we are in no Danger of authorizing Infidelity in Form, and setting it upon the same Footing, in Point of Conscience, with the Profession of Christianity itself; as this Author affirms we are (.) The Man has ill Success indeed, for he has not proved one single Point, with all these Pains and Labour. He might therefore, have very well spared the whole of his pathetic and moving Expostulation, with the Oxonian, whom he address'd, for it is entirely founded on Principles unprov'd and false; it therefore demands no Regard from me, or any other Christian, let the unreasonable Infidel, such as this Man himself is, pay his Respect to it, and make the best of it, he is heartily welcome.

( . ) P. 109, 110, 111.

FINIS.

ERRATA.

PAGE 6. Line 8. in the Margin, dele est; for mi, read mi; for ipsa, read ipse.